

# CIMS Camera Reviewed/ Retained Footage Analysis

Daniel C. O'Leary  
Chief of Police

Chair of the Emergency Management Team

Revised through 6/30/2010

# Analysis of CIMS Program under new timeframe

January 25, 2010 – June 30, 2010

# Type of Footage Requested/Retained

- Since the new timeframe for the CIMS program, there have been 20 requests to retain/review footage:
  - 17 were requests for incidents/specific footage
    - Criminal investigations, motor vehicle crashes, internal investigations, traffic flow analysis
    - Covering 12 specific incidents/crimes.
  - 2 were for pre-planned managed events
  - 1 was a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request

# There have been 19 requests by Police to retain/review footage (17 incidents/2 pre-planned events)

- In 17 of these requests (89%), we yielded footage that assisted law enforcement.
  - Some ways in which the footage was helpful: leading to arrests, aiding in criminal investigations, assisting in managing an event and conducting analysis of traffic flow patterns.
- In 2 of the requests (11%), the footage did not prove to have evidentiary value.
- If looking at only the number of individual incidents/events (not actual requests for footage of which there could be multiple for one situation), 12 (or 86%) had footage which assisted law enforcement and 2 (or 14%) did not.

# Incidents During “Off” Time

- There have been 24 incidents since Jan 25th that occurred during the daytime hours that the cameras were off which would have resulted in a request for footage to be retained/reviewed.
- Types of incidents:
  - MV Crashes – 14 (includes a child struck, hit and runs, pedestrian/bikes hit)
  - Bank Robbery - 2
  - Assault & Battery - 2
  - Kidnapping - 1
  - Armed Robbery - 1
  - Drug Use - 1
  - Stolen MV - 1
  - Car break - 1
  - Indecent Exposure - 1

# 24hrs vs. Limited Hours

- The cameras ran on a 24hr a day basis from February 2009-January 2010.
  - During that time, there was an average of 8.6 requests per month to retain/review footage.
- On January 25, 2010, the cameras began a limited operation from 10pm – 6am daily.
- During this time, there have been 20 requests for retained/reviewed footage, an average of 4 requests per month.
  - There were 24 incidents since Jan 25th that occurred during the daytime hours that the cameras were off which would have resulted in a request for footage to be retained/reviewed.
  - With these additional 24 incidents, the request average would be 8.8 per month.

# Analysis of CIMS Footage Requested/Retained since program inception

February 2009 – June 2010

# Type of Footage Requested/Reviewed

- Since the inception of the CIMS program, there have been 115 requests to retain/review footage:
  - 101 were requests for incidents/specific footage
    - Criminal investigations, motor vehicle crashes, internal investigations, traffic flow analysis
    - Covering 54 specific incidents/crimes.
  - 6 were for pre-planned managed events
  - 8 were Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests



# There have been 107 requests by Police to retain/review footage (Total of 101 incidents/6 pre-planned events)

- In 87 of these requests (81%), we yielded footage that assisted law enforcement.
  - Some ways in which the footage was helpful: leading to arrests, aiding in criminal investigations, assisting in managing an event and conducting analysis of traffic flow patterns.
- In 20 of the requests (19%), the footage did not prove to have evidentiary value.
  - 7 of these 20 requests were in the first two months of the pilot program.
- If looking at only number of individual incidents (not actual requests for footage of which there could be multiple for one situation), 43 (or 72%) had footage which assisted law enforcement and 17 (or 28%) did not.

# Footage Turned Over To:

- Of the total 115 requests (Police and FOIA):
  - Traffic (Chief/Captain/Investigator) – 36
  - Detective Division/Evidence Officer – 31
  - Citizen/Victim – 5
  - Media – 3
  - Suffolk County District Attorney – 2
  - Office of Professional Responsibility - 1
  - Video Was Not Made/Turned Over – 41

In four incidents, footage was provided to two sources (such as Evidence & victim).

# Types of Incidents Where Footage was Retained/Reviewed

- Armed Robbery – 3
- Bank Robbery - 4
- Rape – 3
- Burglary – 6
- Sexual Assault – 3
- Double Stabbing – 1
- Car Break - 7
- Larceny – 4
- Citizen Complaint – 1
- Internal Investigation – 4
- Pick pocketing – 1
- MV Crash – 7
- Malicious Damage – 1
- OUI – 8
- FOIA - 8
- Managing Events – 6
- Traffic Flow – 34
- MV Theft – 1
- MV Pursuit – 2
- Bank Robbery Surveillance - 11

Some incidents resulted in multiple requests, each request counted.

# Ways Footage Assisted

- Assisted in Investigation – 48
- Prosecution – 16
- Events – 6
- Exonerating Suspects – 3
- Disproving Crimes – 1
- Traffic Analysis – 34
- FOIAs – 8
- Did not assist - 20

Some incidents assisted in multiple ways, each way in which it assisted is counted.